

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,790	05/26/2005	Oliver Lapp	E-HE-0039	2875
21495 COPNING CA	7590 06/27/2007 ABLE SYSTEMS LLC	·	EXAMINER DOAN, JENNIFER	
C/O CORNIN		L PROPERTY DEPARTMENT		
SP-TI-3-1 CORNING, N	IY 14831		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2874	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	06/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				$\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}$
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/536,790	LAPP, OLIVER	•
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jennifer Doan	2874	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	rith the correspondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- treply received by the Office later than three months after the mail ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ate, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	March 2007.		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	•	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	<u>-</u>	•	3
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>23-35 and 37-40</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest Claim(s) <u>23-35</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>37,39 and 40</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>38</u> is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
,	The specification is objected to by the Examir			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	· · ·	<u>-</u>	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		4/
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			.
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in a ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
	see the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	i received.	
Attachmen	t(s)			
2) Notic 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's communication filed on March 01, 2007, has been carefully studied by the Examiner. The arguments advanced therein, considered together with the amendment made to the claims, are persuasive. However, in view of further study, Kerry et al. (U.S. 5,457,763) is found applicable to the claims; therefore, a new rejection is set forth below. This action is **not** made final.

Specification

1. Applicants' cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicants may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 37, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wambeke et al. (WO 00/65397) in view of Kerry et al. (U.S. 5,457,763).

Application/Control Number: 10/536,790

Art Unit: 2874

"Control Number: 10/555,75

With respect to claim 37, Wambeke et al. (figures 2 and 3) disclose a device for storing and handling optical waveguides comprising a frame (12) and a plurality of splice cases (13) arranged one above the other on a front side and on a rear side of the frame (12) and pivotably fastened to the frame, and guiding channels arranged within the splice cases such that the optical fibers are guided within the splice cases in a circular manner (see figure 3).

Wambeke et al. do not explicitly disclose the guiding channels are arranged such that at least three interlinked and overlapping circular guides are formed in each splice case.

However, Kerry et al. (figure 1) teach the guiding channels are arranged such that at least three interlinked and overlapping circular guides (23, 24, 23) are formed in each splice case (13). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the splice case structure of Wambeke's device to include the guiding channels are arranged such that at least three interlinked and overlapping circular guides are formed in each splice case (accordance with the teaching of Kerry et al.) for the purpose of protecting the optical fibers to obtain higher efficiency of optical signal transmission.

With respect to claims 39 and 40, Wambeke et al. substantially disclose all the limitations of the claimed invention except the circular guides are aligned in relation to one another such that the optical fibers can be guides in a circular manner with

Application/Control Number: 10/536,790

Art Unit: 2874

phication/Control Hamber: 10/000,70

approximately the same radii, irrespective of their length and the storage space for excess lengths of the optical fibers is formed in lateral portions of the splice cases.

However, Kerry et al. (figure 1) teach the circular guides (23, 24, 23) are aligned in relation to one another such that the optical fibers can be guides in a circular manner with approximately the same radii, irrespective of their length (see figure 1) and the storage space for excess lengths of the optical fibers is formed in lateral portions of the splice cases (13). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the splice case structure of Wambeke's device to with the circular guides aligned in relation to one another such that the optical fibers can be guides in a circular manner with approximately the same radii, irrespective of their length and the storage space for excess lengths of the optical fibers is formed in lateral portions of the splice cases (accordance with the teaching of Kerry et al.) for the purpose of protecting the optical fibers to obtain higher efficiency of optical signal transmission.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 38 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record fails to disclose or reasonably suggest the device, wherein the circular guides are aligned in relation to one another such that the circular guides

Application/Control Number: 10/536,790

Art Unit: 2874

merge tangentially with one another in a central portion of the splice cases as recited in claim 38.

5. Claims 23-35 are allowed.

Please see the reason for allowance of claims 23-35 in the previous office action.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23-35 and 37-40 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2346. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 6:00am to 3:30pm, second Friday off.
- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/536,790 Page 6

Art Unit: 2874

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jennifer Doan

Primary Examiner

JD

June 21, 2007